From: qaneez.sukhrani [mailto:qaneez.sukhrani@gmail.com]

Sent: 20 March 2016 14:45

To: 'pmcmco'

Cc: 'kunal.kumar@punecorporation.org'

Subject: COMMENTS ON COMPREHENSIVE MOBILITY PLAN NOT YET APPROVED BY GB

Dear Mr. Gajanand,

Please place this before Mr. Kunal Kumar tomorrow 21<sup>st</sup> March 2016 as it is a very important item which has not been approved by the GB. This paper was handed over to him personally on 30<sup>th</sup> May 2015 at MCCIA.

Thank you Qaneez Suhhrani Secretary – Nagrih Chetna Manch Cell # 9822056782





Societies Registration Act, 1860-Math/6199-91/Pune-17/12/1991, Bombay Public Trusts Act, 1950-P 7352(Pune)-24/02/1992, Eligible for benefit u/s 80G of IT Act 1961

Maj. Gen S. C. N. Jatar, (Retd) President Tel.: 020-24475366 Mobile: 9970093533 E-mail: scnjat@gmail.com B. D. Sharma Secretary General Tel: 020-27656118/27475079 Mobile: 9822038075 E-mail: bdsharma3@yahoo.com

## COMMENTS ON COMPREHENSIVE MOBILITY PLAN ON $30^{\text{TH}}$ MAY 2015 MEETING WITH MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER MR. KUNAL KUMAR AT MCCIA

Refer to the Recommendation and Comments on the CMP by the Development Plan Steering Committee made on 7<sup>th</sup> July 2008 on the Presentation by Wilbur Smith Associates on 30<sup>th</sup> June 2008

## WHY CMP IS NECESSARY?

Subsequent to NUTP 2006, MoUD issued a circular on 5th March 2007 vide K-14012/101 (38) / 2006-NURM-1 and on  $22^{nd}$  May 2007 vide K-14011/07/2007-UT that stressed that :

- (a) Each city must comes up with the CMP which must NUTP 2006 compliant
- (b) CMP should have a matrix where with current scenario & statics to be compared to the future plan
- (c) CMP be prepared that focuses on mobility of people rather than vehicles that gives priority to pedestrians, cycles, NMT, all modes of PT and IPT,

- (d) After CMP has been prepared & presented, whenever ULB puts forth a road project, it should clearly demonstrate that particular project is part of the CMP where every flyover should cater for pedestrians & NMVs.
- (e) DPR of each project must be accompanied by the CMP to indicate the relationship of the project with the CMP
- (f) ULB must ensure that appointed consultant preparing CMP must include cost benefit analysis of all alternative options, keeping in mind the overall objective of overall sustainability where movement

of people rather than vehicles is of primary focus

## **CURRENT SCENARIO**

- 1. Till date GB has not approved the CMP final draft prepared by Wilbur Smith & Associates in Nov 2008 (which is by and large NUTP compliant), although GB vide its resolution dated 18.05.2012 allows inclusion in the CMP of any projects that GB approves. This makes the CMP completely dysfunctional & ineffective and deprives it of being called a 'plan' as nothing
  - can be planned when each item put up for approval is ad-hoc at the whims of the GB.
- 2. It is an accepted fact that GM members do not have the expertise in traffic & transportation,
  - which is why WSA was appointed to fulfill JnNURM guidelines & compliance that every City must have a CMP to qualify for JnNURM funding. However, PMC has accessed JnNURM funds without an approved CMP, which amounts to improper use of JnNURM funds.
- 3. There is need to update the CMP including the statistical data of 2008 vintage. Since the Final Draft CMP for Pune City November 2008 has not yet been approved, with or without amendments, the comprehensive statistical data in the WSA report has become outdated.
- 4. Both PMC & PCMC have carried out several traffic studies since 2001 to improve the transport
  - of study area. Most of the recommendations of these studies remain on paper. Some of these reports have recommendations and financial models that result in conflict of objective (5.1 to 5.9).
- 5. Current dismal state of the traffic & transportation status needs to further words to exemplify.
  - If the CMP had been approved and actually implemented, traffic & transportation would have
  - been orderly and not chaotic e.g. there would have been a proper technically sound BRTS, technically deficient flyovers would not have come up indiscriminately, footpaths and cycle tracks would have been planned and PMPML strengthened.

## **WAY FORWARD**

- ➤ Urgent need to update the CMP including the statistical data of 2008 vintage keeping in mind the Steering Committee's recommendations & comments. Since the Final Draft CMP for Pune City November 2008 has not yet been approved, with or without amendments, the comprehensive statistical data in the WSA report has become outdated.
- Without further delay this finalized CMP must be approved by GB
- CMP should not lend itself to be a basis for 'pick and choose strategy' by the implementing authority. Rather, PMC should lock in clear aims & objectives, strategy & flowchart to achieve that, timelines & matrix to achieve flowchart, immediate & long terms milestones by having a quarterly review of checklist.

We look forward to you correcting this irregularity at the earliest. And a confirmation of the same. Thank you.

Qaneez Sukhrani Secretary – Nagrik Chetna Manch Cell # 9822056782